A Random Matrix Analysis of Learning with α -Dropout Artemiss Workshop ICML 2020

MEA. Seddik^{12*}, R. Couillet²³, M. Tamaazousti¹

¹CEA List, France ²CentraleSupélec, L2S, France ³GIPSA Lab Grenoble-Alpes University, France

*http://melaseddik.github.io/

July 15, 2020





Abstract

Context:

Study of a one-hidden-layer network with α -Dropout.

Motivation:

- Classical Dropout¹ corresponds to zero-imputation.
- Zero-imputation alter neural networks performances².

Results:

- Asymptotic generalization performances on a binary classification problem.
- An aftermath analysis exhibits $\alpha \neq 0$ which improves generalization.

¹Srivastava et al., Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. JMLR 2014.

²Yi et al., Why not to use zero imputation? correcting sparsity bias in training neural networks. ICLR 2019.

Model and Problem Statement

Let $d_1, \ldots, d_n \in \mathbb{R}^q$ in two classes C_1 and C_2 , and $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^p$ s.t. for $d_i \in C_a$ $\mathbb{E}[\sigma(d_i)] = (-1)^a \mu \qquad \mathbb{E}[\sigma(d_i)\sigma(d_i)^{\mathsf{T}}] = I_p + \mu \mu^{\mathsf{T}}$



After the α -Dropout layer and BN, the features matrix $\mathbf{X}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} = [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n] \in \mathcal{M}_{p,n}$ is

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} = \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\varepsilon} \odot \left(\boldsymbol{Z} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathsf{T}}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{P}_{n} + \alpha \boldsymbol{B}_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{n}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + \alpha^{2} \varepsilon (1 - \varepsilon)}}$$

with $[\boldsymbol{B}_{\varepsilon}]_{ij} \sim \operatorname{Ber}(\varepsilon)$, $Z_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ and $\boldsymbol{P}_n = \boldsymbol{I}_n - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^{\mathsf{T}}$.

Learning with α -Dropout

We consider the Ridge-classifier with $\ell_2\text{-loss}$

$$\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{n} \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{w}\|^2 + \gamma \|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2$$

The solution of which is explicitly given by, for $z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}^-$

$$\boldsymbol{w} = \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{Q}(\gamma) \boldsymbol{X}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{y}, \qquad \boldsymbol{Q}(z) \equiv \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{X}_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{\mathsf{T}} + z \boldsymbol{I}_{p}\right)^{-1}$$

The corresponding (hard) decision function is

$$g(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{Q}(\gamma) \mathbf{X}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} \mathbf{y} \quad \underset{C_2}{\overset{C_1}{\leq}} \quad \mathbf{0}$$

Assumptions (Growth rate)

As $n \to \infty$,

- 1. $\frac{q}{n} \to r \in (0,\infty)$ and $\frac{p}{n} \to c \in (0,\infty)$;
- 2. For $a \in \{1,2\}$, $\frac{n_a}{n} \rightarrow c_a \in (0,1)$;
- **3**. $\|\mu\| = \mathcal{O}(1)$.



Main Results

Deterministic equivalent of Q(z)

Under the previous Assumptions,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Q}(z) \leftrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}(z) \equiv \mathcal{D}_{z} - \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\alpha^{2}(1-\varepsilon)}\mathcal{D}_{z}\mu\mu^{T}\mathcal{D}_{z}}{1+cq(z) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\alpha^{2}(1-\varepsilon)}\mu^{T}\mathcal{D}_{z}\mu}, \\ \text{where } \mathcal{D}_{z} \equiv q(z) \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{1+cq(z)}{1+cq(z) + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)q(z)}{1+\alpha^{2}(1-\varepsilon)}\mu_{i}^{2}} \right\}_{i=1}^{p} \text{ with } q(z) \equiv \frac{c-z-1+\sqrt{(c-z-1)^{2}+4zc}}{2zc} \end{split}$$

Gaussian Approximation of g(x)

Under the previous Assumptions, for $\textbf{\textit{x}} \in \mathcal{C}_{a}$ with $a \in \{1,2\}$,

$$\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(g(\mathbf{x})-m_{a}\right)\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

where

$$\begin{split} m_{a} &\equiv (-1)^{a} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\alpha^{2}(1-\varepsilon)}} \frac{\mu^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{Q}(\gamma) \mu}{1+\delta(\gamma)} \\ \nu &\equiv \frac{1}{(1+\delta(\gamma))^{2}} \left(\eta(\mathbf{C}_{1}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\alpha^{2}(1-\varepsilon)} \times \left[\mu^{\mathsf{T}} \left(\Delta(\mathbf{C}_{1}) - \bar{\mathbf{Q}}(\gamma) \right) \mu - \frac{2 \eta(\mathbf{C}_{1}) \mu^{\mathsf{T}} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}(\gamma) \mu}{1+\delta(\gamma)} \right] \right) \end{split}$$

Take Away Messages



Test Error in terms of α

Highlights:

- Existence of $\alpha \neq 0$ which minimizes the test error.
- In our setting, such α satisfies $\frac{1}{m_a} \frac{\partial m_a}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \frac{\partial \sqrt{\nu}}{\partial \alpha}$.

Perspectives:

- Extend the analysis to a k-class model with α_{ℓ} 's for each class.
- **•** Validation of the α -Dropout approach with real data.
- Extend to multi-layers networks.